

### Projects agreed before 2010

The table below highlights the schemes agreed before 2010, and provides a reason for the delay in their progression. Members need to confirm their approval for the project to stay in the capital programme.

Project	Date Funding Originally Agreed	Original Budget £'000	Remaining Budget £'000	Reason for Delay (Update from Officer)	Risks of not retaining funding (Update from Officer)
New Car Parks	Feb 2008	818	672	<p>£249K profiled for 2014/15.</p> <p>Funding is set aside for Crewkerne, Ilminster, Bruton and Somerton as per the latest car parking strategy.</p> <p>Currently pursuing possible sites in Crewkerne &amp; Somerton.</p>	The Council would not be able to meet its requirements under the car parking strategy.
Dual Use Sports Grants	Feb 2005	260	47	<p>£140,000 was paid out to two projects during 2013/14. £40,000 is allocated for the Holyrood project, and an in-principle offer has been made to the Academy. Project expected to commence in April 2015 subject to the outcome of an application to the English Hockey Board.</p>	<p>An in-principle offer has been made to the Academy.</p> <p>The loss of the £40,000 grant allocated to the Holyrood Academy in 2014/15 would prevent the AGP surface from being replaced, putting the facility at risk of being closed unless alternative funding can be found by the Academy.</p> <p>The reputational damage would be extremely detrimental to the future dual use provision at this site. This provision is a vital component of the sport and leisure strategy and the network of facilities for residents.</p>
Reckleford Gyratory	Feb 2007	1,721	88	<p>Remaining budget will be allocated to remaining works in 2015/16.</p> <p>This report includes a requested to reprofile the remaining budget into 2015/16.</p>	The appointed contractor is required to complete some lighting works and to replant the majority of the landscaped areas. This retention is being held to ensure they carry out these works, once they have done this satisfactorily the retention will be paid. If the remaining money is no longer there SSDC will be in breach of the contract.

Project	Date Funding Originally Agreed	Original Budget £'000	Remaining Budget £'000	Reason for Delay (Update from Officer)	Risks of not retaining funding (Update from Officer)
Market Towns Vision	Feb 2006	438	117	MTIG was modelled on the concept of a local brokering table. Specific Capital Investment Programmes emerge through opportunity and negotiation. The total amount made available through MTIG is more like the capital programme managed in each of the Areas and will contain unallocated balances. It is not one scheme and does not have an end date as such and so has not been delayed in any formal sense. However, delivery is dependent on local capacity to champion schemes and public sector capacity to engage with delivery issues – e.g Coach Parking – both of which can be limited at times. The total capital budget of £450,000 was agreed in 07/08. Since then £ 329k has been spent on over 70 enhancement projects in 11 Market Towns agreed and supported by the Market Towns Investment Group.	The budget remains central to the continuation of this collaborative work. If the capital funds were withdrawn, the raison d'être for the MTIG would disappear. The MTIG model does work and was supported in the AD lean review and by Scrutiny. The rate of spending is mostly determined by the capacity of SSDC, town councils and local regeneration groups to organise and deliver sound schemes together. This is limited by a variety of local and district wide circumstances. Measures to increase that capacity are possible but would require either increased revenue spend or a further review and re focussing of Area Development Work in North, West and East to invest more in the specific development of MTIG.
Multi Use Games Area's	Feb 2008	370	70	Six new MUGA's have been created across the district with Wincanton being finished this year.  Ilminster are in the process of establishing a master plan for their recreation ground, which we expect to finalise their MUGA location.  Ilminster & the original allocation to Castle Cary have been re-profiled to 15/16.	The Council would be unable to provide financial support MUGA projects it has promoted. The reputational damage would be high in both communities.  No grant offer has been made to reallocate the £35,000 originally declined by Castle Cary. However, they are still looking at potential sites, and also Bruton TC has expressed an interest. Removal of the funding would prevent the Council from being able to support this scheme.
Community Play Schemes	Feb 2007	539	114	18 community play schemes have been completed. In terms of sites in progress the remaining projects:	The Council would be unable to maintain and replace the play areas it owns and manages to the required standards.

Project	Date Funding Originally Agreed	Original Budget £'000	Remaining Budget £'000	Reason for Delay (Update from Officer)	Risks of not retaining funding (Update from Officer)
				Larkhill Road, Yeovil - Construction well advanced. Lavers oak, Martock & Packers Way, Misterton - No progress yet, funding profiled for 2015/16.	Children would be put at risk. Non-payment of retention sums would place the Council in breach of contract. The reputational damage would be high.
Grants for Parishes with play	Feb 2008	718	73	12 grants to parishes with play areas have been completed and paid. Remaining schemes are in progress and include: <u>Area East:</u> Gainsborough – practically complete. Rickhayes – actively fundraising for scheme, profiled 15/16. <u>Area North:</u> Ilton – in progress and grant to be paid out shortly. <u>Area West:</u> Henhayes - keen to progress, hopefully late 2014/15.	The Council would be unable to financial support parish play area projects it has promoted and where local expectations have been raised.  Non-payment of retention sums would place Parish Councils in breach of contract. Children may be put at risk. The reputational damage would be high.
Land Acquisition & Enhancement at Waterside Road	Feb 2008	35	35	No spend. Negotiations have moved forward and an offer has been made to the land owner which is under consideration.	These works and our ability to exercise the option to acquire a car parking area would not happen if the capital allocation is withdrawn. The consequence would be long term decline of this amenity area and increased risk from the unmaintained area. Portfolio view is that we negotiate with the owner on a value of the car park area and proceed with this asap.
Youth Facilities Development	Feb 2007	55	30	No progress to date on the funding.	The Council would be unable to respond to demands for youth facility provision from smaller communities across South Somerset not being supported by the MUGA or Community Play Grant Scheme.
Local Delivery Vehicle (Yeovil Vision)	Feb 2009	100	34	Original budget changed as some transferred direct to individual projects. Remaining budget to be allocated to Yeovil Vision projects.	

<b>Project</b>	<b>Date Funding Originally Agreed</b>	<b>Original Budget £'000</b>	<b>Remaining Budget £'000</b>	<b>Reason for Delay (Update from Officer)</b>	<b>Risks of not retaining funding (Update from Officer)</b>
Village Hall Grants	Feb 2006	945	15		These would not be possible without the funding. This would leave £7K remaining unallocated, and if withdrawn would mean no further awards.
Foundry House	Apr 99 *	883	4	* Subsequent reports to DX since this date.	New project to be identified to spend remaining money in line with DCLG grant.